Nomura Securities International, Inc. Equity Quantitative Analytics

# **Risk Models For Changing Markets**

### **Rahul Malhotra and Michael Bos**

Nomura Securities International, Inc.

# NOMURA

### June 2009

Please read the important disclaimers on page 12.

### Turbulent times put particular stress on risk models

- Higher systematic risks
- Common fat-tail events within shifting volatility regimes
- Structural changes at various levels of granularity

### Some (relatively) new technologies help address that

- Random Matrix Theory for clean correlations from limited data
- Non-normal volatilities
- Hierarchical model aggregation

We will briefly discuss those, with some applications

**NOMURA** 

# **Problem: Selecting Factors**

### Risk models separate systematic from idiosyncratic risk

Systematic risk expressed in common factors

### It is important to be able to do this separation dynamically

- The number (and nature) of active factors changes with the market's tone
- Yet most risk models use static criteria to identify common risk
  - Fixed number of factors, or systematic risk as fixed fraction of total risk...

### How can we determine how many factors are active at any given time?

We need a "t-test" to determine would-be factor validity

### **Solution: Comparison with Random Matrices**

#### Random Matrix Theory provides a "t-test"

- Among would-be factors, we keep only those that stick out from the "noise"
- "Noise" is a covariance (or correlation) matrix that is "random"
- Principal component spectrum of random matrices found by math or simulation



### **Application: How Many Factors Drive The Market?**

Factor counting provides a running measure of market nervousness

- Factor analysis on moving windows yields a time series of factor counts
- In times of crisis, systematic risk rises and the number of factors drops



Source: Nomura Securities International, Inc.

### **Problem: Preserving Local Structure in a Global Model**

#### Risk modeling can be done on many scales

Sectors, countries, regions, globally

#### It is important to do this consistently

- We want to preserve fine structure within a broader framework
- We want to keep risk numbers the same regardless of scale or context
- But we also want to keep no more factors than necessary

#### How can we combine risk models consistently?

Adopt a bottom-up approach

### **Solution: A New Hierarchical Construction Process**

Take two factor models:  $R_1 = R_1^{sys} + \mathcal{E}_1$  and  $R_2 = R_2^{sys} + \mathcal{E}_2$ 

Stack the systematic parts  $\begin{bmatrix} R_1^{sys} \\ R_2^{sys} \end{bmatrix}$  and do PCA analysis to explain the

already de-noised returns



# **Application: How Different Are Different Countries and**

# **Sectors Risk-wise?**

US Sectors	Factors
Communications	6
Consumer Cyclical	6
Consumer Non-cyclical	7
Diversified	3
Energy	4
Financial	6
Industrial	6
Materials	6
Technology	3
Utilities	5
US Composite*	15

Source: Nomura Securities International, Inc.

Americas Countries	Factors
US	15
Canada	9
Brazil	5
Chile	4
Peru	3
Colombia	3
Argentina	2
Mexico	2
Americas Composite*	31

Source: Nomura Securities International, Inc.

# **Problem: Fat Tails**

### Normal distributions are notoriously poor in handling extreme risk

- Extreme events happen far more frequently than normal distributions would predict
- Such misrepresentation of risk can lead to catastrophic losses

### It is important to understand that changing volatility and fat tails are related

- Weighing recent events more improves risk estimates
- But unless non-normal measures are used, extreme risk is still underestimated

### How can we incorporate fat tails into factor-based risk models?

Focus on estimating "true" volatility

# **Solution: Non-Normal Volatilities**

- Estimate volatilities using appropriate non-normal distributions
- Power Exponential family is a convenient choice
  - The degree of thickness of the tail can be controlled with  $\alpha$ ;  $f(x) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{|x|^{\alpha}}{\sigma}\right)$
- Combine with EWMA estimation to get "Power-EWMA"



# **Application: Extreme Risk Management**

- With compensation for fat tails, the predicted VaR conforms more closely to actual returns
  - Since 2008 the XLF has exceeded 99% VaR (normal) on 9 days vs. 3<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> expected
  - Average loss on such days was 37% above normal VaR with a max of 170%
  - In contrast, 99% Power-EWMA VAR was exceeded only 4 times, with an average loss of only 15% above VaR, rising to no more than 33%



- Power EWMA / SRM Model

- 21 Day Normal Volatility

# **From Theory To Practice**

### Nomura's Statistical Risk Model (SRM) suite incorporates these features

- Factor selection using random matrix priors, fat tails, hierarchical construction
- 76 countries, 50,000 equities
- Multiple horizons: 3 months, 1 month

■ Like most of Nomura's analytical utilities, it is available in TradeSpex<sup>™</sup>

- Web-based analytical platform
- Risk, Optimization and Pre/Post-Trade Analysis

If you are interested in further discussion, we would love to hear from you

We also have a white paper on our model

# **Contact Information**

### **Rahul Malhotra**

rahul.malhotra@nomura.com

+1 212 667 9804

# **Michael Bos**

michael.bos@nomura.com

# **Disclaimer**

This publication contains material that has been prepared by Nomura Securities International, Inc. ("NSI"). This material is (1) for your private information and we are not soliciting any action based upon it; (2) not to be construed as an offer to sell or a solicitation of an offer to buy any security in any jurisdiction where such an offer or solicitation would be illegal; and (3) is based upon information that we consider reliable, but we do not represent that it is accurate or complete, and it should not be relied upon as such. Opinions expressed are current opinions as of the date appearing on this material only and the information, including the opinions contained herein are subject to change without notice. No part of this material may be copied, or duplicated in any form or redistributed without NSI's prior written consent. If the sender has received this via electronic transmission such as email, such a transmission may not be secure and is subject to interception or viruses and the sender does not accept liability for errors or omissions in the contents which may arise as a result of an electronic transmission. If verification is required please request a hard-copy version.

2009 Nomura Securities International, Inc., member SIPC